1	A Well, within the first year of operation.
2	Q Can you be more specific? Between October
3	and, say, June October of '88 to June of '88? April
4	of '89?
5	A I would say June of '89.
6	Q Okay. So that do you recall how many
7	batches these complaints came in?
8	A How many different batches?
9	Q Uh-huh.
10	A No, I don't remember. I really don't.
11	Q Was it more than two?
12	A Oh, yes.
13	Q Was it more than five?
14	A Probably was. It probably was. We were
15	getting them pretty regularly.
16	Q Okay. And at that time you had how many
17	full-time people working for you?
18	A There was three. There was three full-time
19	people.
20	Q Okay. And those three full-time people were
21	who?
22	A That was myself, and there was the general
23	manager, the manager, and one deejay worked full time.
24	Q Okay. You have already described your
25	duties. Oh, and Mr. Stewart's duties at this time?

1	A He did not have anything to do with the
2	complaints.
3	Q What did he do at the station?
4	A What did he do at the station? He deejayed
5	of the morning for about three hours. And then he goes
6	to the post office and brings in the mail and things of
7	this nature.
8	Q Okay. Is it fair to say, Mrs. Stewart, from
9	October or November let's say November of 1988
10	that the brunt of responding, all of the work of
11	responding to these complaints were on you? Is that
12	fair to say?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Can you give us and that would involve
15	reviewing the complaints, calling people on the
16	telephone, scheduling appointments, attempting to make
17	appointments, so on and so forth? Is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Now I believe you testified earlier that the
20	complaints came in the form of copies of copies of
21	copies. Is that correct?
22	A That is correct.
23	Q Did the form the complaints came in cause you
24	any difficulty in responding to the complaints?
25	A Yes.

1	Q In what way? Can you tell us?
2	A Some of them, the names were not able to make
3	out. Some of them, the addresses we were not able to
4	make out. Many, many, many did not have any telephone
5	number, and so therefore it made contacting these
6	people a very long and hard process.
7	Q Okay. For example, if you came to a
8	complaint that was difficult to read, how did you
9	respond to that? How did you deal with that?
10	A If the address was where I could make out the
11	address, I just sent it to the address.
12	Q Excuse me. You just sent what to the
13	address?
14	A I sent, I would send a letter because I had
15	no phone number, because I had no name to look up a
16	phone number. So I would send a letter to them, a form
17	letter, stating that we was trying to make contact with
18	them and asked them if they would call or would write.
19	Q Okay. For those who had a phone number, you
20	attempted to reach them first by phone, I presume?
21	A Yes.
22	Q On the average, Mrs. Stewart, were you
23	usually able to reach the person, the complainant, on
24	the first call?
25	A Oh, no. No.

1	Q Would it often take you many phone calls to
2	reach a complainant?
3	A Yes. Yes, I have called many, many times
4	just to reach one person.
5	Q During the time period, Mrs. Stewart, of
6	again October 1988 to June 1989, could you give us an
7	estimate, your best good-faith estimate of how much
8	time, of your time, you spent responding to these
9	complaints, making appointments, etc.?
10	A I would say I spent at least 20 hours a week,
11	at least that much.
12	Q And some weeks it would be more, and some
13	weeks it would presumably be less?
14	A Some weeks, yes. Yes.
15	Q That is half of your time? Isn't that
16	correct?
17	A Yes. That did not count the time that I had
18	to, that I took them home with me and tried to make
19	contact at night.
20	Q The question was overall.
21	A The whole time? Okay.
22	Q How much time did you
23	A There was weeks I put in 30 hours, at least
24	30 hours a week on it, because I would take it home
25	with me and try to contact the individual at night

1	because I was unable to contact them in the daytime.
2	Q Okay. Now when you received these
3	complaints, again referring to the complaints that we
4	referred to here, I believe you testified that you did
5	not take the complaints to the home when you visited.
6	Is that correct?
7	A No, I didn't.
8	Q Why not, Mrs. Stewart?
9	A I don't know. It just didn't occur to me.
10	Q Mrs. Stewart, did you ever discuss the
11	complaints with people, the tenor of the complaints
12	with the people when you were in their home?
13	A When I went in, usually their TV they
14	would show me their TV set, show me, go through the
15	channels with me. And then we would put a filter on
16	and then go through the channels again.
17	Q Mrs. Stewart, with reference to the
18	complaints, did you ever have reason to believe that
19	sometimes the complaints didn't accurately reflect the
20	person's actual concern?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Can you describe how you learned of these
23	concerns?
24	A Let me think. Well, there was times that the
25	problem was with Channel 6 and other channels would be

stated that was not having any problem whatsoever, and it was only Channel 6. And there was times that the Highway Patrol was coming in, causing problems, which was attributed to KOKS and which that was not KOKS.

Q Now, Mrs. Stewart, when you say there were times that it was only 6 and other channels were circled, can you be more specific with the name or a person?

A Okay. Let me -- this one wasn't a Channel 6. I'm trying to think. There was a lady by the name of Barbara Lewis. I called her, and she said that she did not circle anything because she didn't -- she was not having problems, because she lived in town. And she said, "I just -- these ladies had a cause, and I just was helping them out." And then there was an individual that had circled 12 and 15, I think, on one, and he had a satellite. He had no antenna at all, just had a satellite. And I asked him why did he circle 12 and 15, and he said, "I didn't do that." And in this instance I don't, the man's name? I think it was a Greene, but I am not sure. It seemed like the name was Greene.

Q Mrs. Stewart, I believe that the tenor of your testimony was that in almost all instances the people you spoke to on the telephone complained of

1	Channel 6 interference. Is that correct?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q Interference of Channel 6?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Would it be fair to characterize a majority
6	of your calls that you received at the station
7	complained only of Channel 6?
8	A Yes.
9	Q When I say a majority, does that mean 50
10	percent?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Seventy percent?
13	A I would say between about 50, about 60.
14	Q About 60 percent? In your testimony you
15	mentioned, I believe, three or four different ladies'
16	names Mrs. Anderson, Mrs. Wynn, one or two others
17	that escape me who specifically mentioned to you
18	during a telephone call that they were having problems
19	with their radio?
20	A Yes.
21	Q During the calls that you received, was there
22	anyone other than these people who complained of
23	interference to their FM radio?
24	A Not that I recall.
25	Q Or their radio?

1	A Not that I can recall.		
2	Q Now you would receive these complaints, and		
3	people would circle "Radio"? Is that correct?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q Okay. And then you would go to the home.		
6	Why don't you describe for us what you did when you		
7	went to somebody's home to respond to a complaint?		
8	A All right.		
9	Q What would be like your normal thing that you		
10	said?		
11	A I would, of course, go to the door and		
12	introduce myself, tell them who I was, where I was		
13	from, and always had called ahead of time and made an		
14	appointment. And they would let me in, and they would		
15	take me to their TV. And, like I said, we would go		
16	through the channels to see what their problem was. So		
17	that I would always ask them, I said, "Do you want to		
18	turn it on and go through your channels for me?" And		
19	they would do that, and then we would put I would		
20	install the filter, and then we would go through the		
21	channels again. They would always turn the set		
22	themselves so that they could distinguish exactly what		
23	channel they were on and everything.		
24	Q There were two points, Mrs. Stewart. One is,		
25	when people would call you on the telephone and		

1	complain, other than those people you identified, there
2	was no one else that you recall that identified a
3	problem with FM radio. Is that correct?
4	A Not on the telephone, no.
5	Q When you said, "I am going to make an
6	appointment to come out and see you," or you made an
7	appointment, did you limit what you were going to do
8	there in any way?
9	A Oh, no.
10	Q Did you say, "I am going to go out there and
11	fix your TV" or "I am going to go out and look at your
12	TV"?
13	A No.
14	Q What did you tell people that you were going
15	to do?
16	A I just made a visit, said, "I'm coming out to
17	your house and we will install a filter."
18	Q Okay. Install a filter?
19	A Uh-huh.
20	Q You didn't say radios or TV?
21	A No.
22	Q Or whatever?
23	A No.
24	Q When you went to the door, you just testified
25	that you came in the door, you introduced yourself and

1	people would take you to their TV?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Why would they take you to their TV?
4	A I assume
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I don't know how the
6	witness can answer that unless there was some dialogue.
7	MR. DUNNE: Well, I am trying to get to the
8	dialogue here.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: You are asking for the state
10	of mind of other people, Mr. Dunne, and I don't know
11	how this witness could
12	MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor. I will
13	rephrase the question.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
15	MR. DUNNE: It was inartfully put.
16	BY MR. DUNNE:
17	Q When you came into a home, did you tell
18	people, "I am here only to fix your TV"?
19	A No.
20	Q Did you in any way limit what you were going
21	to do there when you came in and say, "I am from KOKS"?
22	A No.
23	JUDGE STIRMER: Did you ask them what
24	complaints they were having with respect to their
25	television reception and radio reception?

1	THE WITNESS: I don't recall asking them
2	specifically either one. We just usually went to
3	they went to the TV set.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: That was their primary
5	interest, the TV set?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Excuse me, Mr.
8	Dunne.
9	MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	BY MR. DUNNE:
11	Q Just to follow up on that, then it would be
12	your testimony that people were almost always
13	interested in their TV reception except those people
14	that you mentioned in your testimony?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And that you did not limit what you were
17	there to do in any way, as to just TV?
18	A No, I didn't.
19	Q That's all that they told you about?
20	A That's right.
21	Q Mrs. Stewart, I believe you testified that
22	you never gave a copy of the complaints you received
23	from the FCC to Mr. Lampe. Is that correct?
24	A That's right.
25	Q Why is that?
- 1	

1	A	I just did not think about it.
2	Q	Well, was Mr. Lampe, between 1988 and 1991,
3	was he ir	nvolved with you in curing the interference
4	complaint	cs?
5	A	No.
6	Q	With the exception of Mrs. Hillis?
7	A	Yes, except for going to Mr. and Mrs.
8	Hillis's	home, yes.
9	Q	Mrs. Stewart, I believe you mentioned in your
10	testimony	that there are several towers close by. One
11	you ident	ified as the Highway Patrol tower?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Can you give us an idea where the Highway
14	Patrol to	wer is with respect to the KOKS tower?
15	A	It is just northwest, approximately what? A
16	fourth of	a mile or something of that nature?
17	Q	You can see the Highway Patrol tower from the
18	KOKS towe	r?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	It is quite close?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Is the Highway Patrol tower within the
23	blanketine	g contour?
24	A	Oh, yes.
25	Q	Also, I think there was some testimony that

1	the Channel 15 tower was close by?
2	A Yes, it is.
3	Q How close is the Channel 15 tower to the KOKS
4	tower?
5	A Within a mile, maybe less.
6	Q To the best of your knowledge, is the
7	Channel 15 tower within the KOKS blanketing contour?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you know if there are any FM radio
10	stations located in the Channel 15 tower?
11	A No, I do not.
12	Q Mrs. Stewart, you testified that it was your
13	understanding in 1988 when you began to cure the
14	interference complaints or attempted to cure the
15	interference complaints, that Channel 6 was not a local
16	channel and you had no obligation of interference with
17	Channel 6? Is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q I believe you testified that you received
20	that information from whom?
21	A Mrs. Karen Raines, the FCC Office in Kansas
22	City, Missouri.
23	Q Mrs. Raines told you that Channel 6 was
24	A Mrs. Raines told me I told to Mrs. Raines.
25	Let me backtrack that. I received the information from

1	you and also Mrs. Raines. I talked to Mrs. Raines and
2	told her that Channel 6 was not a guaranteed channel,
3	and she did not say, or did not question me on that at
4	all.
5	Q Okay. She didn't say "No"?
6	A No, she did not say "No."
7	Q And she didn't say "Yes"?
8	A No.
9	Q Was there any other reason that you had to
10	believe that Channel 6 was not a channel that you
11	needed to cure interference? You just testified that
12	you heard it from me. You just testified that you said
13	that to Mrs. Raines and she didn't disagree with you.
14	Was there any other reason that you believe that
15	Channel 6 was not a channel that you had to cure?
16	A The information that Mrs. Raines sent us said
17	that, it talked about the Grade B contour. And that
18	was, we knew that Channel 6 was out of the Grade B
19	contour.
20	Q All right. Did there come a time that you
21	well, let's refer you to something.
22	A Okay.
23	Q Mrs. Stewart, may I refer you to Attachment B
24	in your testimony?
25	A All right, okay.

1	Q Okay. Do you remember when you received this
2	letter or that you first saw this letter?
3	A Yes, that was in December of '89.
4	Q So you received it very soon after the
5	A Yes.
6	Q Until you received that letter, were you
7	under the impression, or was it your belief, that
8	Channel 6 was not an authorized signal excuse me,
9	that you were not responsible for curing interference
10	with Channel 6?
11	A That's right.
12	Q Mrs. Stewart, I refer you to paragraph, the
13	sixth paragraph down which begins "WSPD" and ends with
14	"limits."
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you remember reading that in December of
17	1989?
18	A Yes, I do.
19	Q What did that paragraph mean to you then?
20	A It meant that Channel 6, that this was out
21	that Channel 6 was a great big contour and therefore
22	KOKS had no legal obligations towards Channel 6.
23	Q And you inferred that because Channel 6 said
24	that they had no legal means to deal with it. Is that
25	correct?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And I would like to refer you, if I may, to
3	Mass Med	ia Bureau Exhibit No. 13, if I may. I'm sorry,
4	Exhibit	12. And I would like to refer you to page 11.
5	Do you ha	ave reference to that, Mrs. Stewart?
6	A	Here it is.
7	Q	Okay.
8		JUDGE STIRMER: What exhibit?
9		MR. DUNNE: This would be Mass MediaI'm
10	sorry, Yo	our Honor Mass Media Exhibit No. 12, page
11	11.	
12		BY MR. DUNNE:
13	Q	Do you have reference to that, Mrs. Stewart?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. That page is taken from KOKS's
16	construct	ion permit application. Is that correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Do you recall when you first saw that
19	particula	r map?
20	A	it was sometime in the month of, probably
21	along the	first of November after we or maybe the
22	last of O	ctober when we began to look to see. We had
23	got all o	f the complaints, and we began to look to see.
24	Q	Okay. When you say the last of October or
25	the first	of November, you are talking about the last

1	of October or the first of November of 1988? Is that
2	correct?
3	A Of '88, yes. I'm sorry.
4	Q Okay. And at that time, you were describe
5	how you viewed this. When did you first look at this
6	map, and under what circumstances?
7	A Because of the large number of complaints and
8	because a lot of them was Channel 6, and we got the map
9	out and was looking, and we surmised there was
10	different ones of us there at the station that was
11	looking at it. I don't know exactly who the group was,
12	probably myself and Mr. Stewart and some more maybe.
13	And it said that the Grade B contour stopped her at
14	Fisk, at the 51 Highway is what we took it to be, which
15	is several miles east of here.
16	Q Okay. And do you remember under what
17	circumstances you viewed that map, Mrs. Stewart?
18	A There was as I said, there was a lot of
19	large complaints concerning Channel 6, and we were
20	looking that up to see if
21	Q Okay. You were looking it up because it was
22	your understanding that you weren't obligated to do
23	cure interference outside the Grade B contour?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Which is the interference contour in TV? Is

1	that corre	ect?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Now there is a little kind of dotted line
4	slice ther	e?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	It is noted here on the application,
7	"Interfere	nce with WPSB TV." Do you see that?
8	A	Yes, I do.
9	Q	When you reviewed the application in
10	November,	late October or early November 1988, what did
11	you unders	tand that particular wedge of territory to
12	mean, or i	f at all?
13	A :	I did not understand it all.
14	Q (Okay. So you didn't know what that
15	particular	little slice of territory meant, did you?
16	A 1	No.
17	Q 1	But you did understand that you weren't
18	responsible	e for interference outside that Grade B
19	contour?	
20	A Y	Yes.
21	Q J	Is that correct?
22	A Y	les.
23	j	JUDGE STIRMER: Let me interrupt you a minute
24	here. Mrs.	Stewart, you received the Commission's
25	designation	letter of this case, did you not? That was

1	released on June 12, 1992?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	JUDGE STIRMER: Let me show you what I am
4	referring to. Did you receive a copy of that from your
5	counsel or from another source?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: Now in that designation
8	letter, footnote 4 states that, "We note that the FM
9	blanketing rule does not limit a licensee's
10	responsibility to complainants residing within the
11	Grade B contour of television stations." Did you read
12	that footnote when you read the order of designation?
13	THE WITNESS: I read the order. I read that.
14	I didn't understand what that meant.
15	JUDGE STIRMER: Did you ask your lawyer to
16	explain that to you?
17	THE WITNESS: I asked him, yes.
18	JUDGE STIRMER: And did he give you an
19	explanation?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Now did you
22	thereafter undertake any efforts to try to cure the
23	blanketing with respect to Channel 6 within the
24	blanketing contour?
25	THE WITNESS: This was the designated hearing

order, isn't it, Your Honor?
JUDGE STIRMER: Pardon?
THE WITNESS: Isn't this the designated
hearing order?
JUDGE STIRMER: Yes.
THE WITNESS: No, I not then, that
designated hearing order. I thought we were supposed
to wait until this process was through.
JUDGE STIRMER: Well, what if in fact your
license is renewed, what steps do you propose to
undertake to correct the blanketing problem with
respect to Channel 6 within the blanketing contour?
THE WITNESS: The 89. filter will do it.
Now, it will clear.
JUDGE STIRMER: Well, how do you have you
used that previously?
THE WITNESS: That is the one that we went
out and installed in these 105 homes.
JUDGE STIRMER: As I understand it, you said
that Channel 6 wasn't corrected.
THE WITNESS: Not in this 105.
JUDGE STIRMER: When you installed that
filter.
THE WITNESS: Not in this 105. Some of them,
I said it came in with snow. Channel 6 comes in

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

sometimes on a weak signal. Your Honor, we have a
filter that we we bought one, and we placed it on
our TV. We put up a whole antenna system after this
all started, because as you heard me this morning, we
didn't have nothing but satellite and no antenna system
was there. So we installed an antenna system so that
we could see what the reception was, you know, in our
own home, that we would have a better idea of what
other people were experiencing. And so when Mr. Lampe
found out about this 89.5 cut filter, we had one, had
him to order so that we could try it on our TV at home
to see what it would do. Now we watch channels and,
of course, we put a rotary on ours so that we could
turn our antenna to pick up some of the channels. We
wanted to see what difference it would make with the
rotor or without a rotor. We can receive Channel 6.
Now sometimes we do have some snow on Channel 6. It
will vary at times. We can receive Channel 8, but we
have to turn the only way we can receive Channel 8
with a watchable picture is to turn it in the direction
of Channel 8. And we can receive Channel 12 real good.
And Channel 15, we have never received without having
ghosting. We never have received Channel 15 without
having ghosting, even before KOKS came on the air.
But, and we installed this whole system so that we

1	could have a feel of the knowledge to know what TV
2	reception was on an antenna.
3	JUDGE STIRMER: Did you use the same type
4	filter on any of the homes that you visited?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: What was the experience with
7	respect to Channel 6 when you used this filter?
8	THE WITNESS: Most of them, it all of
9	them, it took KOKS audio out of it.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, how about the quality
11	of the picture?
12	THE WITNESS: Now you are going to get some
13	snow on 6 at times because of the distance and the
14	signal is weak by the time it comes in here.
15	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, how about the people
16	that previously received a good-quality picture on
17	Channel 6 before KOKS went on the air?
18	THE WITNESS: I had no I had no way of
19	knowing what type of picture they received before KOKS
20	came on the air, because I was not in their homes.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: Did you ask them?
22	THE WITNESS: The different times, different
23	ones?
24	JUDGE STIRMER: When you visited the home,
25	did you ask them what kind of picture they got before
ſ	

1	you went on the air?
2	THE WITNESS: No. No, I did not, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE STIRMER: Now you didn't conduct any
4	tests, as I understand it, whereby you took KOKS off
5	the air momentarily just to see what impact that would
6	have on the reception?
7	THE WITNESS: No. We had no instrument to
8	show the people that we were that KOKS was off of
9	the air.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: But you didn't do that in any
11	event?
12	THE WITNESS: No. No, we did not.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: All right.
14	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, just to follow up on
15	that.
16	BY MR. DUNNE:
17	Q Mrs. Stewart, you lived in that home prior
18	to, obviously, KOKS coming on the air. Right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Prior to KOKS coming on the air, did you
21	watch Channel 6?
22	A No, we had no antenna system.
23	Q You had no antenna system, so you didn't know
24	whether you received Channel 6?
25	A No, no.

Was it your understanding that Channel 6 was, 1 you got a good signal on Channel 6 in your neighborhood 2 3 prior to the time that KOKS came on the air? At one time Mrs. Smith told me that TV Α 4 5 reception in that area was bad at its best. We also 6 had a man by the name of Randy Bashom. He worked at the Highway Patrol. He told us, and this was after 7 KOKS came on the air. We was talking to him because we 8 9 talked back and forth to Randy Bashom several different 10 times at the Highway Patrol. And Randy said, "I live 11 on a hill, a tall hill. I've got a tall tower. I have 12 got a high antenna. I've got a rotary. I've got a 13 booster and amplifier." And he said, "I can be sitting 14 and watching Channel 6, and it will just fade out. 15 Sometimes it comes back; sometimes it doesn't." But he 16 said, "We have got used to that in this area, that not 17 all of the time you are going to get Channel 6 with a 18 good-quality picture." 19 0 And the reason, to your understanding, that 20 you didn't get a good-quality picture is because it is 21 so far away? 22 Α Weak signal, that was what Mr. Bashom said. 23 Okay. Mrs. Stewart, I am going to show you a 24 document. Unfortunately, I don't have copies for 25 everyone.

1	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, for the moment I
2	would like this marked and identified as KOKS Exhibit
3	No. 11 for identification, and I apologize that I don't
4	have copies for the record.
5	JUDGE STIRMER: Would you identify it,
6	please?
7	MR. DUNNE: I would rather have the witness
8	identify it, Your Honor.
9	BY MR. DUNNE:
10	Q Mrs. Stewart, I am handing you what has been
11	marked and identified KOKS Exhibit No. 11. Can you
12	describe for the record what that is?
13	A It is a, seemingly a kind of a letter. Do I
14	read it or
15	Q No, just describe what it is and when you
16	first saw it.
17	A Okay. When I first saw it
18	Q Is there a date on it?
19	A I see no date on it.
20	JUDGE STIRMER: There is a signature on it?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, tell me whose signature
23	it is.
24	THE WITNESS: Doris Smith.
25	BY MR. DUNNE:

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 974-0947